arXiv:1602.04807v1 [math.OA] 15 Feb 2016

WORONOWICZ'S TANNAKA-KREIN DUALITY AND FREE ORTHOGONAL QUANTUM GROUPS

SARA MALACARNE

Abstract. Given a finite dimensional Hilbert space H and a collection of operators between its tensor powers satisfying certain properties, we give a category-free proof of the existence of a compact quantum group G with a fundamental representation U on H such that the intertwiners between the tensor powers of U coincide with the given collection of operators. We then explain how the general version of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality can be deduced from this.

Introduction

The aim of the paper is to give a category-free proof of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality Theorem [5]. We consider a finite dimensional Hilbert space H and a collection of operators between its tensor powers satisfying certain properties. Categorically speaking, we deal with a C*-tensor category with conjugates that is a subcategory of the category of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, Hilb_f, and assume that such category is generated by one self-conjugate Hilbert space. We prove the existence of a compact quantum group G, such that its representation category $\mathrm{Rep}\, G$ is our given category. The proof consists of an explicit reconstruction of the Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$, sometimes denoted by $\mathrm{Pol}\, G$, generated by the coefficients of all finite dimensional representations of G. The relations defining such Hopf $*$-algebra are directly obtained through morphisms in the category, or equivalently, through the collection of operators between tensor powers of H. The version of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein Theorem that we prove is essentially formulated in the paper by T. Banica and R. Speicher [1], where the duality is used for the construction of new examples of free quantum groups, via subcategories of the so-called categories of noncrossing partitions. Even though the proof here presented is, in many respects, similar to the proofs of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality appearing in [5] and [2], we wish to point out that this version is more algebraic, mostly category-free and the key part is based on simple duality statements for finite dimensional vector spaces. Related to this reconstruction process, it is also important to mention P. Schauenburg's paper [3], in which a proof of Tannaka-Krein duality is given in a more general setting: monoidal categories that are not semisimple are considered and, correspondingly, arbitrary Hopf algebras are recovered.

The paper is structured in the following way: in Section 1 we define a bialgebra, which we will later prove to be the Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The relations defining this bialgebra are obtained from the collection of operators between tensor powers of H, denoted by $\mathcal{C}$. In Section 2 we prove that the bialgebra defined in Section 1 can be equipped with a Hopf $*$-algebra structure. For this we first consider a smaller collection of operators, $\mathcal{C}_F$, and show that they define the free orthogonal quantum group O_F^+, [4]. We want to stress that nothing, apart from the fact that $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$ is a well-defined Hopf $*$-algebra, is used. In Section 3 we prove the equivalence between the C*-tensor category generated by one selfdual Hilbert space H and $\mathrm{Rep}\, G$. In Section 4 we show how the particular case analysed in Section 3 can be extended to the general case of a not necessarily finitely generated C*-tensor category.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my supervisor Sergey Neshveyev for his help and precious advice throughout this work. I am grateful to Teodor Banica for useful suggestions. Thanks also to Marco Matassa for fruitful discussions.

Date: February 15, 2016.

Supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement no. 307663 (PI: S. Neshveyev).

1. Singly generated categories of Hilbert spaces

Our goal is to prove the following version of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Suppose we are given a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of spaces $\mathcal{C}(k,l)$ of operators $H^{\otimes k} \to H^{\otimes l}$ for all $k,l \geq 0$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) *if $T, S \in \mathcal{C}$, then $T \otimes S \in \mathcal{C}$;*
(2) *if $T, S \in \mathcal{C}$ are composable, then $TS \in \mathcal{C}$;*
(3) *$T \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $T^* \in \mathcal{C}$;*
(4) *$\mathcal{C}(k,k)$ contains the identity operator for all $k \geq 0$;*
(5) *$\mathcal{C}(0,2)$ contains an operator R such that $(R^* \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes R) = \pm\iota$ on H.*

Then there exists a unique up to isomomorphism compact quantum group G with a self-conjugate fundamental representation U on H such that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l}) = \mathcal{C}(k,l)$ for all $k,l \geq 0$.

In the last section we will discuss how the general form of Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality can be easily deduced from this.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the tensor algebra of the space of linear functionals on $B(H)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A} := T\left(B(H)^*\right) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} B\left(H^{\otimes k}\right)^*.$$

Let $U \in B(H) \otimes B(H)^* \subset B(H) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be the "fundamental matrix" of $\mathcal{A}$, so U is characterized by the property

$$(\iota \otimes T)(U) = T \quad \forall T \in B(H). \tag{1.1}$$

In other words,

$$U := \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes u_{ij},$$

where the e_{ij}'s are matrix units in $B(H)$ and $\{u_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ is the dual basis of $B(H)^*$ such that $u_{ij}(e_{kl}) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. The tensor algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a bialgebra with comultiplication Δ defined by duality from the multiplication on $B(H)$, so that

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj},$$

or equivalently, using the leg-numbering notation, $(\iota \otimes \Delta)(U) = U_{12}U_{13}$.

Next, denote by $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}$ the subspace given by

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} B\left(H^{\otimes k}\right)^* = \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} B\left(H^{\otimes k}\right)\right)^*,$$

and denote by $\mathcal{B}_n$ the commutant

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \left(\bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{n} \mathcal{C}(k,l)\right)' \subseteq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} B(H^{\otimes k}) \subset B\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} H^{\otimes k}\right).$$

Finally, let

$$\mathcal{I}_n := \left\{a \in \mathcal{A}_n \ : \ a|_{\mathcal{B}_n} = 0\right\},$$

and denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the union $\mathcal{I} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_n$. Note that $\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \cap \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{I}_n$, so $\mathcal{I}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 1.2. *$\mathcal{I}$ is a bi-ideal in the bialgebra $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. We will first prove that $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal. Assume $a \in \mathcal{I}_n$ and $b \in B(H^{\otimes m})^*$; we have to check that $a \otimes b$ vanishes on $\left(\oplus_{k,l=m}^{n+m} \mathcal{C}(k,l)\right)'$. Since $\left(\oplus_{k,l=m}^{n+m} \mathcal{C}(k,l)\right)' \subseteq \left(\oplus_{k,l=0}^{n} \mathcal{C}(k,l)\right)' \otimes \left(\oplus_{k,l=0}^{m} \mathcal{C}(k,l)\right)'$, the statement simply follows from the assumption that a vanishes on $(\oplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathcal{C}(k,l))'$.

To prove that $\mathcal{I}$ is a coideal we have to show that $\Delta(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{I}$. For this purpose we use an equivalent definition of $\mathcal{I}$, that is, we consider the space spanned by the slices

$$(\omega \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1)U^{\otimes k} - U^{\otimes l}(T \otimes 1)\right) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $\omega \in B(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l})^*$, $T \in \mathcal{C}(k,l)$ and $k,l \geq 0$. This space indeed coincides with $\mathcal{I}$, since using (1.1) we see that an operator $S \in \oplus_{k=0}^{n} B(H^{\otimes k})$ vanishes on the elements (1.2) for all $k,l \leq n$ if and only it lies in $\mathcal{B}_n$. We choose an orthonormal basis of $H^{\otimes k}$, $\{\xi_j\}_j$, and of $H^{\otimes l}$, $\{\eta_i\}_i$, and assume ω is of the form $\omega_{ij} = \langle \cdot\, \xi_j, \eta_i \rangle$. We set $V := U^{\otimes k}$ and $W := U^{\otimes l}$. Then, using the leg-numbering notation,

$$\Delta(\omega_{ij} \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1)V - W(T \otimes 1)\right)$$

is equal to

$$(\omega_{ij} \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V_{12}V_{13} - W_{12}W_{13}(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\right).$$

The expression in the parentheses can be written as

$$\left((T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V_{12} - W_{12}(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\right)V_{13} + W_{12}\left((T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V_{13} - W_{13}(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\right).$$

Now, if we just consider the first part of the sum

$$(\omega_{ij} \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)\left(\left((T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V_{12} - W_{12}(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\right)V_{13}\right),$$

it can be expressed as

$$\sum_k (\omega_{ik} \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V_{12} - W_{12}(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\right)\ (\omega_{kj} \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)(V_{13}),$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Similarly the other part lies in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{I}$, as wanted.

□

By the previous Lemma, $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ is a bialgebra. What we wish to prove is that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$, for a compact quantum group G, and to do so we need for $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ to be a Hopf $*$-algebra and for U to be unitary (see Theorem 1.6.7 of [2]), and this is not obvious written in this manner. In fact it is not even clear whether $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ has a $*$-structure. We shall proceed with an intermediate step. The idea is the following: we will introduce another bi-ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{I}_F$, and show that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F \cong \mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$, where O_F^+ is the free orthogonal quantum group. Thus, $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F$ will automatically inherit a Hopf $*$-algebra structure. Finally we will show that $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_F$ is a Hopf $*$-ideal in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F$ and then conclude that there exists a compact quantum group G such that

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F \Big/ \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_F \cong \mathbb{C}[G],$$

again by Theorem 1.6.7 of [2].

2. Representation category of a free orthogonal quantum group

Following the strategy described above, we now consider the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is the smallest collection of spaces as in Theorem 1.1 containing a fixed operator $R\colon \mathbb{C} \to H^{\otimes 2}$ such that $(R^* \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes R) = \pm\iota$. It is known, and not difficult to see, that if we fix an orthonormal basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in H, then R has the form $(\iota \otimes F)r$, where $r\colon \mathbb{C} \to H \otimes H$ is given by $r(1) = \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i$ and $F \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is such that $F\bar{F} = \pm 1$, where $\bar{F}$ is the matrix obtained from F by taking the complex conjugate of every entry. We will use the subindex F for the constructions of the previous section related to this smallest collection, so we write $\mathcal{C}_F$, $\mathcal{B}_{F,n}$, $\mathcal{I}_{F,n}$, etc.

Consider the universal unital algebra $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$ generated by entries of a matrix $U = (u_{ij})_{i,j}$ satisfying the relations

$$UF^tU^t(F^{-1})^t = 1, \quad F^tU^t(F^{-1})^tU = 1.$$

for an invertible n by n matrix F. It is again known and easy to see that this is a Hopf $*$-algebra with comultiplication $\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ and involution given by $U^* = F^tU^t(F^{-1})^t$. The compact quantum group O_F^+ thus defined is known in literature as the free orthogonal quantum group [4], but we do not need to know any properties of this quantum group apart from the fact that it is well-defined. The following Lemma is a simple consequence of our definitions.

Lemma 2.1. *We have $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F \cong \mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$.*

Proof. By definition, the bialgebra $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$ can be written as $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\left(F^t - (UF^tU^t)\right)_{ij}, \quad \left((F^{-1})^t - U^t(F^{-1})^tU\right)_{ij} \quad \forall i,j$$

with $U \in B(H) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ being the fundamental matrix of $\mathcal{A}$. In order to prove the Lemma we need to show that $\mathcal{I}_F = \mathcal{L}$. To show that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_F$, consider the linear functionals $\omega_{1,ij} := \langle \cdot\, 1, e_i \otimes e_j\rangle \in B(\mathbb{C}, H^{\otimes 2})^*$ and $\omega_{ij,1} := \langle \cdot\, e_i \otimes e_j, 1\rangle \in B(H^{\otimes 2}, \mathbb{C})^*$. Then

$$\left(F^t - (UF^tU^t)\right)_{ij} = (\omega_{1,ij} \otimes \iota)\left((R \otimes \iota) - U^{\otimes 2}(R \otimes \iota)\right)$$

and

$$\left((F^{-1})^t - U^t(F^{-1})^tU\right)_{ij} = \pm(\omega_{ij,1} \otimes \iota)\left((R^* \otimes \iota) - (R^* \otimes \iota)U^{\otimes 2}\right),$$

where we recall that $R = (\iota \otimes F)r$ and in the second equality we use that $F^* = \pm(F^{-1})^t$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_F$.

Conversely, let us show that $\mathcal{I}_F \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. As follows from the above identities, R and R^* are morphisms in the category $\operatorname{Rep} O_F^+$. It follows that any operator in $\mathcal{C}_F$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Rep} O_F^+$. But this implies $\mathcal{I}_F \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ because any relation defined by elements of $\mathcal{C}_F$ has to be satisfied in $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$.

□

Therefore in order to prove Theorem 1.1 for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_F$ it remains to establish the following Lemma. We remark that the Lemma itself is not needed for the general case, but its proof will be reused.

Lemma 2.2. *We have* $\operatorname{Hom}_{O_F^+}(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l}) = \mathcal{C}_F(k,l)$ *for all* $k, l \geq 0$.

Proof. We have to show that for every n

$$\bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{n} \mathcal{C}_F(k,l) = \operatorname{End}_{O_F^+}\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} H^{\otimes k}\right).$$

As both sides are (finite dimensional) von Neumann algebras, the equality is equivalent to the equality of their commutants:

$$\mathcal{B}_{F,n} = \operatorname{End}_{O_F^+}\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} H^{\otimes k}\right)'.$$

Recall now that for any finite dimensional representation $V \in B(H_V) \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ of a compact quantum group G we have a representation π_V of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]^*$ on H_V defined by $\pi_V(\phi) = (\iota \otimes \phi)(V)$, and then $\pi_V(\mathbb{C}[G]^*) = \operatorname{End}_G(H_V)'$. Therefore we have to show that $\pi_{\bigoplus_{k=0}^n U^{\otimes k}}\left(\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]^*\right) = \mathcal{B}_{F,n}$. But this immediately follows from the previous Lemma, as $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+] = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F \supset \mathcal{A}_n/\mathcal{I}_{F,n} = \mathcal{B}_{F,n}^*$.

□

3. Proof of the Theorem

We now turn to a general $\mathcal{C}$ as in Theorem 1.1. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}(0,2)$ be an operator such that $(R^* \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes R) = \pm\iota$. As in the previous section, we fix an orthonormal basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in H and write R as $(\iota \otimes F)r$. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the bi-ideal $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_F$ in $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+] = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_F$. Note that $\mathcal{J}$ can still be described as the space spanned by the elements $(\omega \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1)U^{\otimes k} - U^{\otimes l}(T \otimes 1)\right)$, for $T \in \mathcal{C}(k,l)$ and $\omega \in B(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l})^*$, where we slightly abuse the notation and denote by the same symbol U the fundamental matrix of $\mathcal{A}$ and its image in $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$.

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{J}$ *is a Hopf* $*$*-ideal in* $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$.

Proof. We denote by S the antipode of $\mathbb{C}[O_F^+]$. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a bi-ideal, we only need to check that $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under taking the adjoints and is invariant under S. Let $a^* = (\omega \otimes \iota)\left((T \otimes 1)U^{\otimes k} - U^{\otimes l}(T \otimes 1)\right)^*$.

We have to show that it lies in $\mathcal{J}$ for any $k, l \geq 0$. We first note that $\mathcal{C}(1,1)$ is closed under the operation $\vee$ defined by

$$(\iota \otimes T)R = (T^\vee \otimes \iota)R, \quad \text{since} \quad T^\vee = \pm(\iota \otimes R^*)(\iota \otimes T \otimes \iota)(R \otimes \iota).$$

As $(\iota \otimes T)r = (T^t \otimes \iota)r$, we have $T^\vee = (F^{-1}TF)^t$, and the inverse operation, still preserving $\mathcal{C}(1,1)$, is $T \mapsto FT^tF^{-1}$. We recall from the previous section that we also have $U^* = F^tU^t(F^{-1})^t$. Analogous formulas hold for $T \in \mathcal{C}(k,l)$. In fact, if we denote by $F_k = F^{\otimes k}$ and $U_k = U^{\otimes k}$,

then $T^\vee = (F_l^{-1}TF_k)^t \in \mathcal{C}(l,k)$ and $U_k^* = F_k^t U_k^t (F_k^{-1})^t$. Therefore, choosing an orthonormal basis of $H^{\otimes k}$, $\{\xi_j\}_j$, and of $H^{\otimes l}$, $\{\eta_i\}_i$, and assuming ω is of the form $\omega_{ij} = \langle \cdot\, \xi_j, \eta_i\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^* =&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left((T\otimes 1)U_k - U_l(T\otimes 1)\right)^* = \\
&(\omega_{ji}\otimes\iota)\left(U_k^*(T^*\otimes 1) - (T^*\otimes 1)U_l^*\right) = \\
&(\omega_{ji}\otimes\iota)\left(\left(((T^*)^t\otimes 1)(U_k^*)^t - (U_l^*)^t((T^*)^t\otimes 1)\right)^t\right) = \\
&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left(((T^*)^t\otimes 1)(U_k^*)^t - (U_l^*)^t((T^*)^t\otimes 1)\right) = \\
&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left(((T^*)^t\otimes 1)(F_k^{-1}U_kF_k) - (F_l^{-1}U_lF_l)((T^*)^t\otimes 1)\right) = \\
&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left((F_l^{-1}\otimes 1)\left((F_l(T^*)^tF_k^{-1}\otimes 1)U_k - U_l(F_l(T^*)^tF_k^{-1}\otimes 1)\right)(F_k\otimes 1)\right) = \\
&\sum_{m,n}(F_l^{-1})_{im}(F_k)_{nj}\,(\omega_{mn}\otimes\iota)\left((\tilde{T}\otimes\iota)U_k - U_l(\tilde{T}\otimes\iota)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{T} = F_l(T^*)^tF_k^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(k,l)$, since $T \mapsto F_lT^tF_k^{-1}$ is a map from $\mathcal{C}(l,k)$ to $\mathcal{C}(k,l)$, being the inverse operation of $\vee$. Hence, $a^* \in \mathcal{J}$.

The invariance of $\mathcal{J}$ under the antipode immediately follows from its invariance under involution. If $a = (\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left((T\otimes 1)U_k - U_l(T\otimes 1)\right)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
S(a) =&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left((T\otimes 1)(\iota\otimes S)(U_k) - (\iota\otimes S)(U_l)(T\otimes 1)\right) \\
=&(\omega_{ij}\otimes\iota)\left((T\otimes 1)U_k^* - U_l^*(T\otimes 1)\right) \\
=&(\omega_{ji}\otimes\iota)\left(U_k(T^*\otimes 1) - (T^*\otimes 1)U_l\right)^* \in \mathcal{J}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Given the above Lemma, we conclude that there exists a compact quantum group G such that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$. It remains to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l}) = \mathcal{C}(k,l)$. But this is done in exactly the same way as in Lemma 2.2.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have to show that the compact quantum group G is unique up to isomorphism. Let G' be another compact quantum group satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, that is, having a fundamental representation $V = (v_{ij})_{ij}$ on H such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G'}(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l}) = \mathcal{C}(k,l)$. We can identify $\mathbb{C}[G']$ with $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}'$, for a bi-ideal $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{A}$. The only thing to check is that the bi-ideal $\mathcal{I}'$ is completely determined by the operator spaces $\mathcal{C}(k,l)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(k,l)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{G'}(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l})$ coincide, from the proof of Lemma 2.2 we see that this implies that $\mathcal{A}_n/\mathcal{I}'_n = \mathcal{B}_n^*$, where $\mathcal{I}'_n = \mathcal{I}' \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \bigcup_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{I}'_n$. Hence, the spaces $\mathcal{I}'_n$ are completely determined by the spaces $\mathcal{C}(k,l)$. Thus Theorem 1.1 is proved.

4. General version of the Tannaka-Krein duality

In this section we want to explain, without too many details, how using Theorem 1.1 one can recover the following result.

Theorem 4.1 (Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an essentially small C*-tensor category with conjugates, $\tau : \mathcal{C} \to Hilb_f$ be a unitary fiber functor. Then there exists a compact quantum group G and a unitary monoidal equivalence $\theta : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Rep}\, G$ such that τ is naturally unitarily monoidally isomorphic to the composition of the canonical fiber functor $\pi : \mathrm{Rep}\, G \to Hilb_f$ with θ. Furthermore, the Hopf $*$-algebra $(\mathbb{C}[G], \Delta)$ for such a G is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.*

We remark that for C*-tensor categories we follow the conventions of [2], in particular, we assume that they are closed under finite direct sums and subobjects.

We concentrate only on the existence of G. We may assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a subcategory of Hilb_f and τ is the embedding functor. If there exist an object H in $\mathcal{C}$ such that any other object is isomorphic to a subobject of $H^{\otimes n}$ for some $n \geq 0$, and a morphism $R\colon \mathbb{C} \to H\otimes H$ such that $(R^*\otimes\iota)(\iota\otimes R) = \pm\iota$, then the result follows from Theorem 1.1. For general $\mathcal{C}$ let us distinguish between three cases:

(*i*) $\mathcal{C}$ is generated, as a C*-tensor category with conjugates, by one object;

(*ii*) $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by a finite number of objects;
(*iii*) $\mathcal{C}$ is infinitely generated.

(*i*) Assume $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by one object K, so every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a subobject of a tensor product of copies of K and an object $\bar{K}$ conjugate to K. Let $(R', \bar{R}')$ be a solution of the conjugate equations for K and $\bar{K}$. Then letting $H = K \oplus \bar{K}$ and $R = R' \oplus \bar{R}'$, considered as a morphism $\mathbb{C} \to H \otimes H$, we have $(R^* \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes R) = \iota$, so we are back to the case covered by Theorem 1.1.

(*ii*) The case when $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by a finite number of objects $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ is not much different from (*i*), as then $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by $H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n$.

(*iii*) For general $\mathcal{C}$, choose a generating set $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be the family of finite subsets of $\mathcal{F}$ ordered by inclusion. For each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ let $\mathcal{C}_E$ be the full rigid C*-tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ generated by the finite set of objects in E. By the previous case, for each subcategory $\mathcal{C}_E$ we get a compact quantum group G_E with representation category $\mathcal{C}_E$. Moreover, if $E \subset E'$, then, since $\mathcal{C}_E \subset \mathcal{C}_{E'}$, by the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1, the quantum group G_E is a quotient of $G_{E'}$, that is, we have an embedding $\mathbb{C}[G_E] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[G_{E'}]$ of Hopf $*$-algebras. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is defined as the inductive limit of the Hopf $*$-algebras $\mathbb{C}[G_E]$.

In the following example we can see how to recover the free unitary quantum group following the procedure explained in point (*i*) of the above.

Example 4.2 (Free Unitary quantum group)**.** We denote by $\mathbb{C}[U_Q^+]$ the universal unital $*$-algebra generated by the entries of matrices $V = (v_{ij})_{i,j}$ and $\bar{V} = (\bar{v}_{ij})_{i,j}$ such that V and $\bar{V}$ are unitary with involution defined by $V^* = Q^t \bar{V}^t (Q^{-1})^t$ and $\bar{V}^* = (Q^{-1})^* V^t Q^*$, for an invertible n by n matrix Q. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[U_Q^+]$ is a Hopf $*$-algebra with comultiplication $\Delta(v_{ij}) = \sum_k v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}$ and U_Q^+ is known in literature as the free unitary quantum group.

We wish to prove the equivalence between the representation category of the free unitary quantum group and a concrete C*-tensor category having certain properties. More specifically, consider the Hilbert space $K = \mathbb{C}^n$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{K}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_Q$ be the smallest collection of operators between tensor powers of $H := K \oplus \bar{K}$, as in Theorem 1.1, containing the operator $R\colon \mathbb{C} \to H^{\otimes 2}$ such that $(R^* \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes R) = \iota$, and the projection $p\colon K \oplus \bar{K} \to K$. The operator R is equal to $(\iota \otimes F)r$ for $F \in GL_2(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with entries $F_{11} = F_{22} = 0$, $F_{12} = \bar{Q}^{-1}$ and $F_{21} = Q$, where $\bar{Q}$ is the matrix whose coefficients are the complex conjugates of the entries of Q.

We claim that $\mathrm{Hom}_{U_Q^+}(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l}) = \mathcal{C}_Q(k,l)$ for all $k, l \geq 0$. We show that $\mathbb{C}[U_Q^+] \cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal generated by slice maps $(\omega \otimes \iota)\big((T \otimes 1)U^{\otimes k} - U^{\otimes l}(T \otimes 1)\big)$, for $T \in \mathcal{C}_Q(k,l)$ and $\omega \in B(H^{\otimes k}, H^{\otimes l})^*$. The claim will then follow from Theorem 1.1. By definition, $\mathbb{C}[U_Q^+]$ can be written as $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is the ideal generated by the relations

$$UF^tU^t(F^{-1})^t = 1, \quad F^tU^t(F^{-1})^tU = 1, \quad U_{12} = 0, \quad U_{21} = 0.$$

We already know that the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ contains slices of the first two relations, since we showed in Lemma 2.1 that they correspond to the slice maps

$$(\omega_{1,ij} \otimes \iota)\left((R \otimes \iota) - U^{\otimes 2}(R \otimes \iota)\right)_{i,j}, \qquad (\omega_{ij,1} \otimes \iota)\left((R^* \otimes \iota)U^{\otimes 2} - (R^* \otimes \iota)\right)_{i,j}.$$

The other two relations correspond to $(\omega_{ij} \otimes \iota)\left((p \otimes \iota)U - U(p \otimes \iota)\right)_{i,j}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. The opposite inclusion follows analogously to the second part of the proof of Lemma 2.1.

References

[1] T. Banica and R. Speicher. *Liberation of Orthogonal Lie groups*. Advances in Mathematics, 222: 1461-1501, 2009.
[2] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset. *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, volume 20 of Cours Spécialisés. Societe Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
[3] P. Schauenburg. *Tannaka duality for Arbitrary Hopf Algebras*. Algebra Berichte 66, Fischer, Munich, 1991.
[4] A. Van Daele and S. Wang. *Universal Quantum Groups*. Int. J. Math., 7(2): 255-263, 1996.
[5] S. L. Woronowicz. *Tannaka-Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted SU(N) groups*. Invent. Math., 93(1): 35-76, 1988.

E-mail address: saramal@math.uio.no

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway